

RESEARCH



# On some indices of foliations and applications

Arturo Fernández-Pérez<sup>1</sup>, Evelia R. García Barroso<sup>2\*</sup>  and Nancy Saravia-Molina<sup>3</sup>

\*Correspondence:

ergarcia@ull.es

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics,  
Federal University of Minas  
Gerais, Av. Antônio Carlos, 6627,  
CEP 31270-901 Pampulha - Belo  
Horizonte, Brazil <sup>2</sup>Departamento  
de Matemáticas, Estadística e  
Investigación Operativa, Instituto  
Universitario de Matemáticas y  
Aplicaciones (IMAULL),  
Universidad de La Laguna,  
Apartado de Correos 456, 38200  
La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain  
Full list of author information is  
available at the end of the article

## Abstract

In this paper, we establish a relationship between the Milnor number, the  $\chi$ -number and the Tjurina number of a foliation with respect to an effective balanced divisor of separatrices. Moreover, using the Gómez-Mont–Seade–Verjovsky index, we prove that the difference between the multiplicity and the Tjurina number of a foliation with respect to a reduced curve is independent of the foliation. We also derive a local formula for the Tjurina number of a foliation with respect to a reduced curve. From a global point of view, these results lead to the following consequences: We provide a new proof of a global result regarding the multiplicity of a foliation due to Cerveau–Lins Neto and a new proof of a Soares’s inequality for the sum of the Milnor number of an invariant curve of a foliation. Additionally, we obtain bounds for the global Tjurina number of a foliation on the complex projective plane. Finally, we provide an answer to the conjecture posed by Alcántara and Mozo-Fernández about foliations on the complex projective plane having a unique singularity.

**Keywords:** Holomorphic foliations, Milnor number, Tjurina number, Multiplicity of a foliation along a divisor of separatrices, GSV-index.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** Primary 32S65, 32M25

## 1 Introduction

The aim of this paper is to study indices of local and global foliations in the complex projective plane  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ . We focus particularly on four indices of the foliations: the *Milnor number*, the *Gómez-Mont–Seade–Verjovsky index* (abbreviated as the GSV-index), the *multiplicity* and the *Tjurina number* with respect to an invariant curve.

First, using known local formulas for the GSV-index and the Tjurina number of a singular curve, we present a local formula for the Tjurina number of a foliation (see Proposition 3.1). Next, we prove in Proposition 4.1 that the difference between the multiplicity and the Tjurina number of a foliation with respect to a reduced curve does not depend on the foliation. More specifically, let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a germ of a singular holomorphic foliation at  $(\mathbb{C}^2, p)$  and let  $C$  be any  $\mathcal{F}$ -invariant reduced curve. Denoting by  $\mu_p(\mathcal{F}, C)$  and  $\tau_p(\mathcal{F}, C)$  the multiplicity and Tjurina number of  $\mathcal{F}$  along  $C$ , respectively, we obtain

$$\mu_p(\mathcal{F}, C) - \tau_p(\mathcal{F}, C) = \mu_p(C) - \tau_p(C),$$

where  $\mu_p(C)$ ,  $\tau_p(C)$  are the Milnor and Tjurina numbers of  $C$ . This result leads to several applications; for instance, we show that

$$GSV_p(\mathcal{F}, C) < 4\tau_p(\mathcal{F}, C) - 3\mu_p(\mathcal{F}, C),$$

where  $GSV_p(\mathcal{F}, C)$  denotes the  $GSV$ -index of  $\mathcal{F}$  along  $C$  at  $p$ . Some applications also are presented in Sects. 4 and 7; for example, we provide a positive answer to a question posed in [20].

In Sect. 5, following the terminology of balanced divisor of separatrices of a foliation introduced by Genzmer [24], we present Proposition 5.1 that generalizes Corollary B of [19]. We recall that a foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  is said to be a *generalized curve* if there are no saddle nodes in its reduction process of singularities. This concept was introduced by Camacho, Lins Neto and Sad in [9] and the non-dicritical case, delimits a family of foliations whose topology is closely related to that of their separatrices (i.e., local invariant curves). Generalized curve foliations are part of the broader family of *second type foliations*, introduced by Mattei and Salem in [33]. Foliation in this family may admit saddle nodes in the reduction process of singularities, provided that they are not *tangent saddle nodes* (see [21, Definition 2.1]). In order to study second type foliations, the  $\chi$ -number of  $\mathcal{F}$  was introduced in [19, Section 3]. This number, denoted by  $\chi_p(\mathcal{F})$ , can be interpreted as the difference  $\mu_p(\mathcal{F}) - \mu_p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B})$ , where  $\mu_p(\mathcal{F})$  is the Milnor number of  $\mathcal{F}$  at  $p$  and  $\mu_p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B})$  is the multiplicity of  $\mathcal{F}$  along a balanced divisor of separatrices  $\mathcal{B}$ . For more details on balanced divisor of separatrices of a foliation, we refer the reader to [24, 26].

Now, we can establish our main result as follows:

**Theorem A** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a germ of a singular holomorphic foliation at  $(\mathbb{C}^2, p)$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be an effective primitive balanced divisor of separatrices for  $\mathcal{F}$  at  $p$ . Then*

$$\frac{\mu_p(\mathcal{F})}{\tau_p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}) + \chi_p(\mathcal{F})} < \frac{4}{3}.$$

*Moreover, if  $\mathcal{F}$  is of second type then  $\frac{\mu_p(\mathcal{F})}{\tau_p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B})} < \frac{4}{3}$ . In particular, if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a non-dicritical foliation of second type, and  $C$  is the total union of separatrices, then  $\frac{\mu_p(\mathcal{F})}{\tau_p(\mathcal{F}, C)} < \frac{4}{3}$ .*

The proof of Theorem A will be given in Sect. 6. Finally, we apply our local results in three ways. First, we provide a new proof of a result by Cerveau–Lins Neto [12, Proposition 7.1] and a new proof of a Soares’s inequality [39, Theorem 7.3] for the sum of the Milnor number of an invariant curve of a foliation. In Theorem 7.5, we establish bounds for the global Tjurina number of a foliation on the complex projective plane. Lastly, we answer a question posed by Alcántara and Mozo-Fernández [1, p. 16] regarding the existence of non-dicritical logarithmic foliations with a unique singular point and an invariant algebraic curve passing through the singularity.

## 2 Basic tools

To establish the terminology and notation, we recall some basic concepts of the theory of holomorphic foliations. Unless stated otherwise, throughout this text,  $\mathcal{F}$  denotes a germ of a singular holomorphic foliation at  $(\mathbb{C}^2, p)$ . In local coordinates  $(x, y)$  centered at  $p$ , the

foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  is given by a holomorphic 1-form

$$\omega = P(x, y)dx + Q(x, y)dy,$$

or by its dual vector field

$$\nu = -Q(x, y)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + P(x, y)\frac{\partial}{\partial y},$$

where  $P(x, y), Q(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}\{x, y\}$  are relatively prime and  $\mathbb{C}\{x, y\}$  is the ring of complex convergent power series in two variables. The *algebraic multiplicity*  $v_p(\mathcal{F})$  is the minimum of the orders  $v_p(P), v_p(Q)$  at  $p$  of the coefficients of a local generator of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Let  $f(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}[[x, y]]$ , where  $\mathbb{C}[[x, y]]$  is the ring of complex formal power series in two variables. We say that  $C : f(x, y) = 0$  is *invariant* by  $\mathcal{F}$  or  $\mathcal{F}$ -*invariant* if

$$\omega \wedge df = (f.h)dx \wedge dy,$$

for some  $h \in \mathbb{C}[[x, y]]$ . If  $C$  is an irreducible  $\mathcal{F}$ -invariant curve, then we will say that  $C$  is a *separatrix* of  $\mathcal{F}$  at  $p$ . The separatrix  $C$  is analytical if  $f$  is convergent. We denote by  $\text{Sep}_p(\mathcal{F})$  the set of all separatrices of  $\mathcal{F}$  at  $p$ . When  $\text{Sep}_p(\mathcal{F})$  is a finite set, we will say that the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  is *non-dicritical* and the union of all elements of  $\text{Sep}_p(\mathcal{F})$  is called *total union of separatrices* of  $\mathcal{F}$  at  $p$ . Otherwise, we will say that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a *dicritical* foliation.

## 2.1 GSV-index

The GSV-index was introduced by Gómez-Mont–Seade–Verjovsky in [28]. This index is a topological invariant for vector fields on singular complex varieties and is closely related to the Euler–Poincaré characteristic of the Milnor fiber (see, for instance, [8, Theorem 3.1]). It also relates to the Schwartz index and local Euler obstruction through a proportionality theorem [5, Theorem 3.1]. Below we will present Brunella’s definition of the GSV-index for one-dimensional holomorphic foliations (see [6]).

Let  $\mathcal{F} : \omega = 0$  be a singular foliation at  $(\mathbb{C}^2, p)$ . Let  $C : f(x, y) = 0$  be an  $\mathcal{F}$ -invariant curve, where  $f(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}[[x, y]]$  is reduced. Then, as in the convergent case, there are  $g, h \in \mathbb{C}[[x, y]]$  (depending on  $f$  and  $\omega$ ), with  $f$  and  $g$  and  $f$  and  $h$  relatively prime and a 1-form  $\eta$  (see [40, Lemma 1.1 and its proof]) such that

$$g\omega = hdf + f\eta. \quad (1)$$

Expression (1) was first proved by K. Saito [37]. Subsequently, A. Lins Neto [32] obtained the same result in the case where  $f$  is irreducible, and T. Suwa [40], in the case where  $f$  is reduced, showed that the functions  $f, g, h$  can be chosen in such a way that each pair  $(f, g)$  and  $(f, h)$  is relatively prime.

The *GSV-index* of the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  at  $(\mathbb{C}^2, p)$  with respect to an analytic  $\mathcal{F}$ -invariant curve  $C$  is

$$\text{GSV}_p(\mathcal{F}, C) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial C} \frac{g}{h} d\left(\frac{h}{g}\right), \quad (2)$$

where  $g, h \in \mathbb{C}\{x, y\}$  are from (1).

## 2.2 The multiplicity of a foliation along a separatrix

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a germ of a singular foliation at  $(\mathbb{C}^2, p)$  induced by the vector field  $\nu$  and  $B$  be a separatrix of  $\mathcal{F}$  at  $p$ . Let  $\gamma : (\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^2, p)$  be a primitive parametrization of

$B$ . Camacho–Lins Neto–Sad [9, Section 4] defined the *multiplicity of  $\mathcal{F}$  along  $B$  at  $p$*  as  $\mu_p(\mathcal{F}, B) := \text{ord}_t \theta(t)$ , where  $\theta(t)$  is the unique vector field at  $(\mathbb{C}, 0)$  such that  $\gamma_* \theta(t) = v \circ \gamma(t)$ . If  $\omega = P(x, y)dx + Q(x, y)dy$  is a 1-form inducing  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\gamma(t) = (x(t), y(t))$ , we have

$$\theta(t) = \begin{cases} -\frac{Q(\gamma(t))}{x'(t)} & \text{if } x(t) \neq 0 \\ \frac{P(\gamma(t))}{y'(t)} & \text{if } y(t) \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

### 2.3 The Tjurina number of a foliation along an invariant reduced curve

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the germ of holomorphic foliation defined by  $\omega = P(x, y)dx + Q(x, y)dy$  at  $(\mathbb{C}^2, p)$ , and let  $C : f(x, y) = 0$  be an *invariant reduced curve*. The *Tjurina number* of  $\mathcal{F}$  with respect to  $C$  is by definition

$$\tau_p(\mathcal{F}, C) := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[[x, y]]/(P, Q, f).$$

This number appears for the first time in [27], and the terminology of Tjurina number of  $\mathcal{F}$  with respect to  $C$  was given in [10, p. 159].

### 3 Local formulas for the Tjurina number of a foliation

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a germ of a singular holomorphic foliation at  $(\mathbb{C}^2, p)$ , and let  $C = \cup_{j=1}^r C_j$  be an  $\mathcal{F}$ -invariant reduced curve such that each  $C_j$  is irreducible. Then, from [42, Theorem 6.5.1], [30, Proposition 3.2], [31, Equation (2.2), p. 329] and [7, p. 29], we obtain the following formulas, respectively:

$$\mu_p(C) = \sum_{j=1}^r \mu_p(C_j) + 2 \left( \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} I_p(C_i, C_j) \right) - r + 1, \quad (3)$$

$$\tau_p(C) = \sum_{j=1}^r \tau_p(C_j) + \left( \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} I_p(C_i, C_j) \right) + \Theta, \quad (4)$$

$$\mu_p(\mathcal{F}, C) = \sum_{j=1}^r \mu_p(\mathcal{F}, C_j) - r + 1, \quad (5)$$

$$GSV_p(\mathcal{F}, C) = \left( \sum_{j=1}^r GSV_p(\mathcal{F}, C_j) \right) - 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} I_p(C_i, C_j), \quad (6)$$

where  $I_p(C_i, C_j)$  denotes the intersection multiplicity of the branches  $C_i$  and  $C_j$  at  $p$ , and  $\Theta$  is a number that depends on the module of Kähler differentials of  $C$ , whose explicit formula can be found in [30, Theorem 5.1]. Moreover, the *Milnor number*  $\mu_p(\mathcal{F})$  of the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  at a point  $p$ , defined by the 1-form  $\omega = P(x, y)dx + Q(x, y)dy$ , is given by  $\mu_p(\mathcal{F}) = I_p(P, Q)$ . Recall that we assume  $P$  and  $Q$  to be coprime, so  $\mu_p(\mathcal{F})$  is a nonnegative integer. In [9, Theorem A], it was proved that the Milnor number of a foliation is a topological invariant.

Analogous to the local formula for the Tjurina number of curves, we provide an local formula for the Tjurina number of a foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  with respect to a reduced  $\mathcal{F}$ -invariant curve  $C$ , in terms of the Tjurina numbers of  $\mathcal{F}$  with respect to the irreducible components of  $C$ .

**Proposition 3.1** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a germ of a singular holomorphic foliation at  $(\mathbb{C}^2, p)$  and let  $C = \cup_{j=1}^r C_j$  be a  $\mathcal{F}$ -invariant reduced curve. Then*

$$\tau_p(\mathcal{F}, C) = \sum_{j=1}^r \tau_p(\mathcal{F}, C_j) - \left( \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} I_p(C_i, C_j) \right) + \Theta.$$

*Proof* After [19, Proposition 6.2], we get  $\tau_p(\mathcal{F}, C) = \text{GSV}_p(\mathcal{F}, C) + \tau_p(C)$ . Hence, by equalities (6) and (4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_p(\mathcal{F}, C) &= \text{GSV}_p(\mathcal{F}, C) + \tau_p(C) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^r \text{GSV}_p(\mathcal{F}, C_j) - 2 \sum_{i < j} I_p(C_i, C_j) + \sum_{j=1}^r \tau_p(C_j) + \left( \sum_{i < j} I_p(C_i, C_j) \right) + \Theta \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^r \tau_p(\mathcal{F}, C_j) - \left( \sum_{i < j} I_p(C_i, C_j) \right) + \Theta. \end{aligned}$$

□

We illustrate Proposition 3.1 with the following example.

**Example 3.2** We borrow from [19, Example 6.5] the family of foliations  $\mathcal{F}_k$ ,  $k \geq 3$ , given by the 1-form

$$\omega_k = y \left( 2x^{2k-2} + 2(\lambda + 1)x^2y^{k-2} - y^{k-1} \right) dx + x \left( y^{k-1} - (\lambda + 1)x^2y^{k-2} - x^{2k-2} \right) dy,$$

which is a family of dicritical foliations which are not of second type. An effective balanced divisor of separatrices of  $\mathcal{F}_k$  is  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_0 : xy = 0$ . Let  $B_1 : x = 0$  and  $B_2 : y = 0$ . Hence,  $\tau_0(\mathcal{F}_k, \mathcal{B}) = 3k - 2$ ,  $\tau_0(\mathcal{F}_k, B_1) = k$ , and  $\tau_0(\mathcal{F}_k, B_2) = 2k - 1$ ; since  $\Theta = 0$  by (4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_0(\mathcal{F}_k, \mathcal{B}) &= \tau_0(\mathcal{F}_k, B_1) + \tau_0(\mathcal{F}_k, B_2) - I_0(B_1, B_2) + \Theta \\ &= k + 2k - 1 - 1 + 0 = 3k - 2. \end{aligned}$$

#### 4 The GSV-index, the multiplicity and the Tjurina number of a foliation

In this section, we present some local results involving the GSV-index, the multiplicity and the Tjurina number of a singular foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  along an  $\mathcal{F}$ -invariant curve.

**Proposition 4.1** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a germ of a singular holomorphic foliation at  $(\mathbb{C}^2, p)$  and let  $C$  be any  $\mathcal{F}$ -invariant reduced curve. Then*

$$\text{GSV}_p(\mathcal{F}, C) = \mu_p(\mathcal{F}, C) - \mu_p(C) \quad (7)$$

and

$$\mu_p(\mathcal{F}, C) - \tau_p(\mathcal{F}, C) = \mu_p(C) - \tau_p(C). \quad (8)$$

*Proof* Let  $C = \cup_{j=1}^r C_j$ . It follows from [20, Eq. 5] that

$$\text{GSV}_p(\mathcal{F}, C_j) = \mu_p(\mathcal{F}, C_j) - \mu_p(C_j) \quad \text{for all } j = 1, \dots, r. \quad (9)$$

By combining (9), (3), (5) and (6), we obtain  $\text{GSV}_p(\mathcal{F}, C) = \mu_p(\mathcal{F}, C) - \mu_p(C)$ . Finally, using [19, Proposition 6.2], we get

$$\mu_p(\mathcal{F}, C) - \tau_p(\mathcal{F}, C) = \mu_p(C) - \tau_p(C).$$

□

After Proposition 4.1 and [2, Theorem 3.2], we prove Corollary 4.2 which provides a positive answer to Question 1 posed in [20].

**Corollary 4.2** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a germ of a singular holomorphic foliation at  $(\mathbb{C}^2, p)$  and let  $C$  be any  $\mathcal{F}$ -invariant reduced curve. Then*

$$GSV_p(\mathcal{F}, C) < 4\tau_p(\mathcal{F}, C) - 3\mu_p(\mathcal{F}, C).$$

*Proof* From Proposition 4.1, Eq. (8) and [2, Theorem 3.2], we obtain

$$\mu_p(\mathcal{F}, C) - \tau_p(\mathcal{F}, C) = \mu_p(C) - \tau_p(C) < \mu_p(C)/4.$$

and proof follows from formula (7). □

**Corollary 4.3** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a germ of a singular holomorphic foliation at  $(\mathbb{C}^2, p)$  and let  $C$  be a  $\mathcal{F}$ -invariant reduced curve. Then  $\mu_p(\mathcal{F}, C) = \tau_p(\mathcal{F}, C)$  if and only if after a suitable holomorphic coordinate transformation  $C$  is quasi-homogeneous.*

*Proof* It follows from Proposition 4.1 and [36, Satz p.123, (a) and (d)]. □

**Corollary 4.4** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a germ of a singular holomorphic foliation at  $(\mathbb{C}^2, p)$  and let  $C = \cup_{j=1}^r C_j$  be a  $\mathcal{F}$ -invariant reduced curve. Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^r (\mu_p(\mathcal{F}, C_i) - \tau_p(\mathcal{F}, C_i)) \leq \mu_p(\mathcal{F}, C) - \tau_p(\mathcal{F}, C).$$

*Proof* By [30, Theorem 4.3], we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (\mu_p(C_i) - \tau_p(C_i)) \leq \mu_p(C) - \tau_p(C). \quad (10)$$

Applying Proposition 4.1 to each  $C_i$  and to  $C$ , and substituting into (10), we obtain the desired inequality. □

#### 4.1 Values set of fractional ideals and the Tjurina number of a foliation

In this subsection, we follow the notations from [3]. Let  $C : f(x, y) = 0$  be a (reduced) germ of complex plane curve singularity at  $p \in \mathbb{C}^2$  with equation  $f = \prod_{i=1}^r f_i = 0$ , where  $f_i \in \mathbb{C}\{x, y\}$  is irreducible. Each  $f_i$  defines a branch  $C_i$  and its analytic type is characterized by the local ring  $\mathcal{O}_i := \mathbb{C}\{x, y\}/(f_i)$  up to  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra isomorphism. The field of fractions  $\mathcal{H}_i$  of  $\mathcal{O}_i$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}(t_i)$  and associated with it we have a canonical discrete valuation  $v_i : \mathcal{H}_i \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Z}} := \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$ . If  $\bar{h} \in \mathcal{O}_i$  with  $h \in \mathbb{C}\{x, y\}$ , then we have  $v_i(\bar{h}) = I_p(f_i, h)$ . The image of  $v_i$  of  $\mathcal{O}_i \setminus \{0\}$ , that is,

$$S(C_i) := \{v_i(\bar{h}) \in \mathbb{N} : \bar{h} \in \mathcal{O}_i, \bar{h} \neq 0\}$$

is the semigroup of values of  $C_i$ . Let  $\mathcal{O} := \mathbb{C}\{x, y\}/(f)$ . The semigroup of values of  $C$  is

$$S(C) := \{(v_1(h), \dots, v_r(h)) : h \in \mathcal{O}, h \text{ is not a zero divisor}\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^r.$$

Let  $\Omega^1 = \mathbb{C}\{x, y\}dx + \mathbb{C}\{x, y\}dy$  be the  $\mathbb{C}\{x, y\}$ -module of holomorphic forms on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  and consider the submodule  $\mathcal{F}(f) := \mathbb{C}\{x, y\}df + f\Omega^1$ . The module of Kähler differentials of  $C$  is  $\Omega_f := \frac{\Omega^1}{\mathcal{F}(f)}$ , that is, the  $\mathcal{O}$ -module,  $\mathcal{O}dx + \mathcal{O}dy$  module and the relation  $df = 0$ .

If  $\varphi_i = (x_i, y_i) \in \mathbb{C}\{t_i\} \times \mathbb{C}\{t_i\}$  is a parametrization (non-necessarily a Newton–Puiseux parametrization) of the branch  $C_i$  and  $h(x, y) \in \mathcal{O}$ , then we denote  $\varphi_i^*(h) := h(x_i, y_i) \in \mathbb{C}\{t_i\}$ . In addition, given  $\omega = A(x, y)dx + B(x, y)dy \in \Omega_f$ , we set

$$\varphi_i^*(\omega) := t_i \cdot (A(\varphi_i) \cdot x'_i + B(\varphi_i) \cdot y'_i) \in \mathbb{C}\{t_i\},$$

where  $x'_i, y'_i$  denote, respectively, the derivate of  $x_i, y_i \in \mathbb{C}\{t_i\}$  with respect to  $t_i$ . Let  $\mathcal{H} = \prod_{i=1}^r \mathcal{H}_i$ , that is, the total ring of fractions of  $\mathcal{O}$  and

$$\varphi^*(\Omega_f) = \{(\varphi_1^*(\omega), \dots, \varphi_r^*(\omega)) : \omega \in \Omega_f\} \subset \mathcal{H}.$$

By [4, Theorem 3.1],

$$\varphi^*(\Omega_f) \simeq \frac{\Omega_f}{\text{Tor}(\Omega_f)}$$

where  $\text{Tor}(\Omega_f)$  is the torsion submodule of  $\Omega_f$ . In this way,  $\varphi^*(\Omega_f)$  is a fractional ideal of  $\mathcal{O}$  and, considering  $v_i(\omega) := v_i(\varphi_i^*(\omega))$ , its values set is given by

$$\Lambda_f = \underline{v}(\varphi^*(\Omega_f)) = \{\underline{v}(\omega) := (v_1(\omega), \dots, v_r(\omega)) : \omega \in \Omega_f\}.$$

We state the following theorem of [3, Theorem 2.9].

**Theorem 4.5** *Let  $C$  be a germ of reduced plane curve at  $p \in \mathbb{C}^2$ . With the previous notation, let  $\overline{\Lambda} = \Lambda_f \cup \{0\}$ . Then, we have*

$$\mu_p(C) - \tau_p(C) = d(\overline{\Lambda} \setminus S(C)),$$

where  $d$  denotes the distance function defined in [3, Section 2.1].

In the context of foliations, Proposition 4.1 implies the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.6** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a germ of a singular holomorphic foliation at  $(\mathbb{C}^2, p)$ , and let  $C := f(x, y) = 0$  be a  $\mathcal{F}$ -invariant reduced plane curve. Then*

$$\mu_p(\mathcal{F}, C) - \tau_p(\mathcal{F}, C) = d(\overline{\Lambda} \setminus S(C)),$$

where  $\overline{\Lambda} = \Lambda_f \cup \{0\}$ .

## 5 Multiplicity of a foliation along a divisor of separatrices

Following [20, Section 2], we define the multiplicity of  $\mathcal{F}$  along any non-empty divisor  $\mathcal{B} = \sum_B a_B \cdot B$  of separatrices of  $\mathcal{F}$  at  $p$  as follows:

$$\mu_p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}) = \left( \sum_B a_B \cdot \mu_p(\mathcal{F}, B) \right) - \deg(\mathcal{B}) + 1. \quad (11)$$

The notion of balanced divisor of separatrices of a foliation was introduced by Genzmer in [24], see also [26]. By convention, we set  $\mu_p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}) = 1$  for any empty divisor  $\mathcal{B}$ . In

particular, when  $\mathcal{B} = B_1 + \cdots + B_r$  is an *effective primitive divisor of separatrices* of the singular foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  at  $p$ , we recover [31, Equation (2.2), p. 329] (for the reduced plane curve  $C := \cup_{i=1}^r B_i$ ). Hence, if we write  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_0 - \mathcal{B}_\infty$  where  $\mathcal{B}_0$  and  $\mathcal{B}_\infty$  are effective divisors we obtain

$$\mu_p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}) = \mu_p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}_0) - \mu_p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}_\infty) + 1. \quad (12)$$

Since  $\mu(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}_\infty) \geq 1$ , it follows that  $\mu_p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}_0) \geq \mu_p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B})$ .

In [19, Section 3], the  $\chi$ -number of the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  at  $p$  was introduced as  $\chi_p(\mathcal{F}) = \left( \sum_{q \in \mathcal{I}_p(\mathcal{F})} v_q(\mathcal{F}) \xi_q(\mathcal{F}) \right) - \xi_p(\mathcal{F})$ , where  $\mathcal{I}_p(\mathcal{F})$  denotes the set of infinitely near points of  $\mathcal{F}$  at  $p$  (see [19, p. 7]),  $v_q(\mathcal{F})$  is the algebraic multiplicity of the strict transform of  $\mathcal{F}$  passing by  $q$  and  $\xi_p(\mathcal{F})$  is the tangency excess of the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  (see [19, Definition 2.3]). By [19, Proposition 4.7], we get

$$\mu_p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}) = \mu_p(\mathcal{F}) - \chi_p(\mathcal{F}). \quad (13)$$

Since  $\chi_p(\mathcal{F})$  is a nonnegative number (see [19, Proposition 3.1]), we have

$$\mu_p(\mathcal{F}) \geq \mu_p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}). \quad (14)$$

Observe that, if  $\mathcal{B}$  is a primitive balanced divisor of separatrices for  $\mathcal{F}$  at  $p$  and  $\mu_p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}) = \mu_p(\mathcal{F})$  then, by [19, Proposition 3.1],  $\mathcal{F}$  is of second type or has algebraic multiplicity equal to one. Moreover, if  $\mathcal{F}$  is of second type and  $\mathcal{B}$  is a primitive balanced divisor of separatrices of  $\mathcal{F}$  then  $\mu_p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}) = \mu_p(\mathcal{F})$ .

The following proposition generalizes [19, Corollary B].

**Proposition 5.1** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a germ of a singular foliation at  $(\mathbb{C}^2, p)$ . Let  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_0 - \mathcal{B}_\infty$  be a primitive balanced divisor of separatrices for  $\mathcal{F}$  at  $p$ . Then,*

$$\mu_p(\mathcal{F}) - \tau_p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}_0) = \mu_p(\mathcal{B}_0) - \tau_p(\mathcal{B}_0) - \mu_p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}_\infty) + \chi_p(\mathcal{F}) + 1.$$

Moreover,  $\mu_p(\mathcal{F}) = \tau_p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}_0)$  if and only if

$$\mu_p(\mathcal{B}_0) - \tau_p(\mathcal{B}_0) = \mu_p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}_\infty) - \chi_p(\mathcal{F}) - 1.$$

In particular, if  $\mathcal{B}$  is an effective divisor then  $\mu_p(\mathcal{F}) = \tau_p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}_0)$  if and only if  $\mu_p(\mathcal{B}_0) = \tau_p(\mathcal{B}_0)$  and  $\chi_p(\mathcal{F}) = 0$ .

*Proof* It follows from (13) and (12) that  $\mu_p(\mathcal{F}) = \mu_p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}_0) - \mu_p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}_\infty) + \chi_p(\mathcal{F}) + 1$ . The proof follows by applying Proposition 4.1 to  $\mathcal{B}_0$  and substituting into the last equation. Moreover,  $\mu_p(\mathcal{F}) = \tau_p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}_0)$  if and only if

$$\mu_p(\mathcal{B}_0) - \tau_p(\mathcal{B}_0) = \mu_p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}_\infty) - \chi_p(\mathcal{F}) - 1.$$

If  $\mathcal{B}$  is effective, then the last part of the proposition follows since  $\mu_p(\mathcal{B}_0) - \tau_p(\mathcal{B}_0)$  and  $\chi_p(\mathcal{F})$  are nonnegative integers.  $\square$

The following corollary generalizes [22, Corollaries 3.4].

**Corollary 5.2** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a germ of a singular holomorphic foliation at  $(\mathbb{C}^2, p)$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be an effective primitive balanced divisor of separatrices. If  $\mu_p(\mathcal{F}) = \tau_p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B})$ , then, after a suitable holomorphic coordinate transformation,  $\mathcal{B}$  is quasi-homogeneous and either  $\mathcal{F}$  has algebraic multiplicity 1 at  $p$  or  $\mathcal{F}$  is of second type.*



*Proof* Since  $\mu_p(\mathcal{F}) = \tau_p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B})$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  is effective, by Proposition 5.1 we obtain  $\mu_p(\mathcal{B}) = \tau_p(\mathcal{B})$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{B}$  belongs to its Jacobian ideal. The corollary follows from [19, Proposition 3.1 (3)] and [36, Satz p.123, (a) and (d)].  $\square$

## 6 Proof of Theorem A

In this section, we prove Theorem A. First, we present the following proposition.

**Proposition 6.1** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a germ of a singular foliation at  $(\mathbb{C}^2, p)$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_0 - \mathcal{B}_\infty$  be a balanced divisor of separatrices for  $\mathcal{F}$  at  $p$ . If  $\mu_p(\mathcal{B}_0) \leq \mu_p(\mathcal{F})$ , then*

$$\frac{\mu_p(\mathcal{F})}{\tau_p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}_0) + \chi_p(\mathcal{F}) - \mu_p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}_\infty) + 1} < \frac{4}{3}. \quad (15)$$

*Proof* By [2, Theorem 3.2], we have  $\mu_p(\mathcal{B}_0) - \tau_p(\mathcal{B}_0) < \frac{\mu_p(\mathcal{B}_0)}{4}$  so after Proposition 5.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_p(\mathcal{F}) - \tau_p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}_0) &< \frac{\mu_p(\mathcal{B}_0)}{4} - \mu_p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}_\infty) + \chi_p(\mathcal{F}) + 1 \\ &\leq \frac{\mu_p(\mathcal{F})}{4} - \mu_p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}_\infty) + \chi_p(\mathcal{F}) + 1, \end{aligned}$$

where we use the hypothesis for the last inequality. Hence,

$$3\mu_p(\mathcal{F}) - 4\tau_p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}_0) < 4(\chi_p(\mathcal{F}) - \mu_p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}_\infty)) + 4$$

and the proposition follows.  $\square$

**Remark 6.2** The hypothesis  $\mu_p(\mathcal{B}_0) \leq \mu_p(\mathcal{F})$  of Proposition 6.1 is essential as the following example illustrates: We consider the Suzuki's foliation (see, for instance, [13, p. 75]) given by

$$\mathcal{F} : \omega = (2y^2 + x^3)dx - 2xydy$$

whose separatrices are  $C : x = 0$  and  $C_c : y^2 - cx^2 - x^3 = 0$ , where  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ . Consider the balanced divisor of separatrices  $\mathcal{B} := C + C_0 + C_1 - C_2$ . After some computations, we have  $17 = \mu_0(\mathcal{B}_0) \not\leq \mu_0(\mathcal{F}) = 5$ ,  $\mu_0(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}_\infty) = 3$ ,  $\tau_0(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}_0) = 5$ , and  $\chi_0(\mathcal{F}) = 0$  since  $\mathcal{F}$  is a curve generalized foliation. So the left term of (15) is  $\frac{5}{3}$  and Proposition 6.1 does not hold.

### 6.1 Proof of Theorem A

Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is an effective, primitive, balanced divisor of separatrices for  $\mathcal{F}$  at  $p$ , we have  $\mathcal{B}_\infty = \emptyset$  and  $GSV_p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}) = \Delta_p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B})$ , where  $\Delta_p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B})$  denotes the polar excess number of  $\mathcal{F}$  with respect to  $\mathcal{B}$ , and this number is nonnegative because it is a sum of nonnegative numbers (see [21, Equality(3.12)]). Hence,  $GSV_p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}) = \mu_p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}) - \mu_p(\mathcal{B}) \geq 0$  by (7), which implies that  $\mu_p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}) \geq \mu_p(\mathcal{B})$ . From (14), we get  $\mu_p(\mathcal{F}) \geq \mu_p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}) \geq \mu_p(\mathcal{B})$ . Thus, Theorem A is a consequence of Proposition 6.1.  $\square$

**Example 6.3** Returning to Example 3.2, the family  $\mathcal{F}_k$  of dicritical foliations which are not of second type with  $k \geq 3$ . For the effective balanced divisor of separatrices  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_0 : xy = 0$  of  $\mathcal{F}_k$ , we have  $\tau_0(\mathcal{F}_k, \mathcal{B}_0) = 3k - 2$ ,  $\mu_0(\mathcal{F}_k) = k(2k - 1)$  and  $\chi_0(\mathcal{F}_k) = 2(k - 1)^2$ . Theorem A is verified.

## 7 Applications to global foliations

In this section, we work with global foliations on the complex projective plane  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a holomorphic foliation on  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ . The number of points of tangency counted with multiplicities, between  $\mathcal{F}$  and a non-invariant  $L \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$  is the *degree* of the foliation, denoted by  $d$ .

A holomorphic foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$  of degree  $d$  is a homogeneous 1-form  $\omega = A(x, y, z)dx + B(x, y, z)dy + C(x, y, z)dz$  with  $A, B$  and  $C$  homogeneous polynomials of degree  $d + 1$  such that  $x A + y B + z C = 0$ .

### 7.1 New proof of a global result of Cerveau–Lins Neto

In [12, p. 885], Cerveau and Lins Neto established the following proposition. The main ingredients in their proof are the reduction of singularities of foliations and the Poincaré–Hopf formula. Here, we provide an alternative proof using our previous results and some known local formulas.

**Proposition 7.1** (Cerveau–Lins Neto [12]) *Let  $C$  be an irreducible curve on  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$  of degree  $d_0$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  be a holomorphic foliation of degree  $d$  having  $C$  as a separatrix. Then*

$$2 - 2g(C) = \sum_p \sum_i \mu_p(\mathcal{F}, C_i) - d_0(d - 1),$$

where  $g(C)$  is the geometric genus of  $C$  and the sum is taken over all local branches  $C_i$  of  $C$  passing through the singularities  $p$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  in  $C$ .

*Proof* For each singularity  $p$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $p \in C$ , we have by (7),

$$\mu_p(\mathcal{F}, C) = \text{GSV}_p(\mathcal{F}, C) + \mu_p(C).$$

Therefore, by (5)

$$\sum_p \sum_i \mu_p(\mathcal{F}, C_i) - \sum_p (r_p - 1) = \sum_p \text{GSV}_p(\mathcal{F}, C) + \sum_p \mu_p(C), \quad (16)$$

where  $r_p$  is the number of branches at  $p$ . Since  $C$  is irreducible, the geometric genus formula gives

$$g(C) = (d_0 - 1)(d_0 - 2)/2 - \sum_p \delta_p, \quad (17)$$

where  $\delta_p = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_p / \mathcal{O}_p$  is the co-length of the local ring  $\mathcal{O}_p$  of  $(C, p)$  in its normalization. It follows from the *Milnor formula* [34, Theorem 10.5] that

$$\mu_p(C) = 2\delta_p - r_p + 1. \quad (18)$$

The proof follows by combining (17), (18) and the GSV-index formula [7, Proposition 2.3]

$$\sum_p \text{GSV}_p(\mathcal{F}, C) = (d + 2)d_0 - d_0^2$$

and then substituting these expressions into (16).  $\square$

## 7.2 New proof of a global result of Soares

In [39], Soares studied the problem of bounding the degree of an algebraic curve  $C$  invariant for a foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$  in terms of the degree of  $\mathcal{F}$ , in order to give an answer to the *Poincaré Problem*. For a recent account of this problem, we refer to [14] and the references therein. For a local version of the Poincaré problem, see [23].

Before stating the main result of this subsection, we need the following remark.

**Remark 7.2** We note that  $\tau_p(\mathcal{F}, C) \geq 1$  for all singularity  $p$  of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Indeed, there would exist a point  $q \in C$  which is a singularity of  $\mathcal{F}$  with  $\tau_q(\mathcal{F}, C) = 0$ ; then, we can take local coordinates centered at  $q$  such that  $q = (0, 0)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  is given by a 1-form  $\omega_q = P(x, y)dx + Q(x, y)dy$  and  $C = \{f(x, y) = 0\}$ . Since  $\tau_q(\mathcal{F}, C) = 0$ , it follows that  $1 \in (P, Q, f)$  so there exist  $g, h, k \in \mathbb{C}\{x, y\}$  such that

$$1 = g \cdot P + h \cdot Q + k \cdot f. \quad (19)$$

However, since  $q \in C$  is a singularity of  $\mathcal{F}$ , we have  $P(0, 0) = Q(0, 0) = f(0, 0) = 0$ . Substituting these values into Eq. (19) leads to a contradiction.

M. Soares proved the following theorem using polar varieties and characteristic classes. In [17], the author obtained a characterization of Soares's formula (in higher dimensions), in terms of the Schwartz and GSV indices of the foliation. Also, in [16], the author obtained another generalization of Soares's theorem for foliations on hypersurfaces with isolated singularities. In [15], the author presents a more general version of Soares's theorem in higher dimensions. Here, we present a simpler proof based on the indices studied above.

**Theorem 7.3** (Soares [39, Theorem II]) *Let  $C$  be an irreducible curve of degree  $d_0 > 1$  that is invariant by a foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$  of degree  $d \geq 2$  with isolated singularities. Then*

$$d_0(d_0 - 1) - \sum_p (\mu_p(C) - 1) \leq (d + 1)d_0,$$

where the sum runs over all singularities  $p$  of  $C$ .

*Proof* For each singularity  $p$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $p \in C$ , we have, by (7),

$$\mu_p(C) = \mu_p(\mathcal{F}, C) - \text{GSV}_p(\mathcal{F}, C). \quad (20)$$

It follows from (8) that  $\mu_p(\mathcal{F}, C) \geq \tau_p(\mathcal{F}, C)$ , since  $\mu_p(C) \geq \tau_p(C)$ , and therefore,  $\mu_p(\mathcal{F}, C) \geq 1$  by Remark 7.2. In particular, from (20), we obtain

$$\mu_p(C) - 1 \geq -\text{GSV}_p(\mathcal{F}, C). \quad (21)$$

The proof follows by summing over all singularities of  $\mathcal{F}$  in  $C$  and using the GSV-index formula [7, Proposition 2.3]  $\sum_p \text{GSV}_p(\mathcal{F}, C) = (d + 2)d_0 - d_0^2$ , and then substituting this equality into (21).  $\square$

## 7.3 Bounds of the global Tjurina number of a foliation

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a holomorphic foliation on  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$  of degree  $d$ , having an invariant reduced algebraic curve  $C$  of degree  $d_0$ . We define the global Tjurina number of  $\mathcal{F}$  with respect to  $C$  as  $\tau(\mathcal{F}, C) := \sum_p \tau_p(\mathcal{F}, C)$ , which is the sum of the Tjurina number of  $\mathcal{F}$  at all singularities  $p$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $C$ . Similarly, we denote  $\mu(C) := \sum_p \mu_p(C)$ , the *global Milnor number* of  $C$  and  $\tau(C) := \sum_p \tau_p(C)$ , the *global Tjurina number* of  $C$ .

**Remark 7.4** Teissier's Proposition [41, Chapter II, Proposition 1.2] implies that

$$I_p(\mathcal{P}^{df}, C) = \mu_p(C) + \nu_p(C) - 1, \quad (22)$$

and by [29, Theorem 4.18], we get

$$I_p(\mathcal{P}^{df}, C) \geq \nu_p(df) \cdot \nu_p(C) = (\nu_p(C) - 1) \cdot \nu_p(C). \quad (23)$$

Using (22) and (23), we obtain  $\mu_p(C) \geq (\nu_p(C) - 1)^2$ .

Now, we present bounds for the global Tjurina number of a foliation on  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ .

**Theorem 7.5** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a holomorphic foliation on  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$  of degree  $d \geq 2$  having an invariant irreducible algebraic curve  $C$  of degree  $d_0 \geq 2$ . Then*

$$\tau(\mathcal{F}, C) = d_0(d - 1) - 2g(C) - \sum_p (r_p - 1) - \mu(C) + \tau(C) + 2,$$

where  $g(C)$  is the geometric genus of  $C$  and  $r_p$  is the number of branches of  $C$  at  $p$ . Moreover,

$$\tau(\mathcal{F}, C) \leq d_0(d_0 - 3) + d(d + 1) - 2g(C) - \sum_p (r_p - 1) - \sum_p (\nu_p(C) - 1)^2 + 3,$$

and if  $C$  is not concurrent lines, then

$$2 - 2g(C) + \left\lceil \frac{d_0}{2} \right\rceil + d - d_0 - \sum_p (r_p - 1) \leq \tau(\mathcal{F}, C).$$

*Proof* For each singularity  $p$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $p \in C$ , we have

$$\tau_p(\mathcal{F}, C) = \mu_p(\mathcal{F}, C) - \mu_p(C) + \tau_p(C)$$

by Proposition 4.1. Then, using the definition of  $\tau(\mathcal{F}, C)$ , Eq. (5) and Proposition 7.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\mathcal{F}, C) &= \sum_p \tau_p(\mathcal{F}, C) = \sum_p \mu_p(\mathcal{F}, C) - \mu(C) + \tau(C) \\ &= \sum_p \left( \sum_i \mu_p(\mathcal{F}, C_i) - r_p + 1 \right) - \mu(C) + \tau(C) \\ &= \sum_p \sum_i \mu_p(\mathcal{F}, C_i) - \sum_p (r_p - 1) - \mu(C) + \tau(C) \\ &= d_0(d - 1) + 2 - 2g(C) - \sum_p (r_p - 1) - \mu(C) + \tau(C). \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Moreover, by [38, Corollary 3.4], if  $C$  is not concurrent lines, we have

$$\mu(C) \leq (d_0 - 1)^2 - \left\lceil \frac{d_0}{2} \right\rceil. \quad (25)$$

Furthermore, it follows from [18, Theorem 3.2] that

$$(d_0 - d - 1)(d_0 - 1) \leq \tau(C) \leq (d_0 - 1)^2 - d(d_0 - d - 1). \quad (26)$$

Finally, the theorem follows by substituting (25), (26) and Remark 7.4 into (24).  $\square$

#### 7.4 Alcántara–Mozo–Fernández’s conjecture

Now, we address the following conjecture posed by Alcántara–Mozo–Fernández [1, p. 16]: *Does there exist a logarithmic, non-dicritical and quasi-homogeneous foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ , with a unique singular point  $p$ , having an invariant reduced algebraic curve  $C$  passing through  $p$ ?*

These authors indicate that they have some evidence suggesting that this type of foliation does not exist; however, they state that they do not yet have a solution to the problem.

Recall that a foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$  is *logarithmic* if there exist homogeneous, irreducible polynomials  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$ , of degrees  $d_1, \dots, d_m$ , respectively, such that a 1-form describing the foliation is:

$$\Omega = f_1 \cdots f_m \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \frac{df_i}{f_i},$$

for some nonzero complex numbers  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$  satisfying  $\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i d_i = 0$ . Note that the foliation has degree  $\deg(\mathcal{F}) = \sum_{i=1}^m d_i - 2$ . In particular, taking  $C = \{f_1 \cdots f_m = 0\}$ , we obtain

$$\deg(C) = \deg(\mathcal{F}) + 2. \quad (27)$$

A logarithmic foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$  is always a generalized curve foliation, by [21, Section 6].

We also recall that a non-dicritical foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  is *quasi-homogeneous* at  $p$ , if the set of all separatrices of  $\mathcal{F}$  passing by  $p$  is a germ of curve given in some coordinates by a quasi-homogeneous polynomial, see [25, p. 1657].

We propose the following answer in the case where  $C$  is irreducible. Note that in this situation it is not necessarily assume that the foliation is quasi-homogeneous.

**Theorem 7.6** *There does not exist a logarithmic, non-dicritical foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$  of degree  $d$ , with a unique singular point  $p$ , having an invariant irreducible algebraic curve  $C$  of degree  $d_0 \geq 2$  passing through  $p$ .*

*Proof* Suppose, for contradiction, that there exists a logarithmic, non-dicritical foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$  of degree  $d$ , with a unique singular point  $p$ , having an invariant irreducible algebraic curve  $C$  of degree  $d_0 \geq 2$  passing through  $p$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is logarithmic, it follows that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a generalized curve foliation at  $p$  and  $d_0 = d + 2$  (see [21, Section 6]). Moreover, since  $\mathcal{F}$  is non-dicritical at  $p$ , we have

$$\mu_p(C) = \mu_p(\mathcal{F}) = d^2 + d + 1 \quad (28)$$

by [9, Theorem 4] and [7, p. 19]. Now, since  $C$  is irreducible, we can apply Płoski’s inequality [35, Lemma 2.2] to  $C$  at  $p$ , obtaining

$$\mu_p(C) \leq (d_0 - 1)(d_0 - 2). \quad (29)$$

Combining (28) and (29), and using  $d = d_0 - 2$ , we get

$$d^2 + d + 1 = d_0^2 - 3d_0 + 3 \leq d_0^2 - 3d_0 + 2,$$

implying  $1 \leq 0$ , which is a contradiction. This completes the proof.  $\square$

Now, we present an answer to the conjecture posed by Alcántara and Mozo–Fernández in the case where  $C$  is reduced.

**Theorem 7.7** *There does not exist a logarithmic, non-dicritical, quasi-homogeneous foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$  of degree  $d$ , with a unique singular point  $p$ , that admits an invariant reduced algebraic curve  $C$  of degree  $d_0 \geq 2$ , containing all separatrices passing through  $p$ .*

*Proof* Suppose, by contradiction, that there exists a logarithmic, non-dicritical, quasi-homogeneous foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$  of degree  $d$ , with a unique singular point  $p$ , and an invariant reduced algebraic curve  $C$  of degree  $d_0 \geq 2$  which contains all the separatrices passing through  $p$ .

We fix the singular point at  $p = [0 : 0 : 1]$  and consider local coordinates  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2$  centered at  $p$ . Since  $C$  contains all the separatrices passing through  $p$ , and  $\mathcal{F}$  is non-dicritical at  $p$ , it follows that  $C$  is the total union of the separatrices of  $\mathcal{F}$  at  $p$ . Moreover, if the germ of  $C$  at  $p$  is given by  $C_p = \{f(x, y) = 0\}$ , then, by hypothesis,  $f$  is quasi-homogeneous. Hence, after a suitable change of variables, we can write

$$f(x, y) = u \cdot x^m \cdot y^n \prod_{j=1}^s (y^k - \zeta_j x^q),$$

where  $u$  is a unit,  $m, n \in \{0, 1\}$ ,  $\gcd(k, q) = 1$ , and  $\zeta_j \in \mathbb{C}^*$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, s$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $k < q$ . Now, we analyze the possible branches of  $f$ . First, note that  $m = 0$ , because the intersection  $\{x = 0\} \cap \{y^k z^{q-k} - \zeta_j x^q = 0\}$  consists of two points, namely  $[0 : 0 : 1]$  and  $[0 : 1 : 0]$ , which would yield two singularities of  $\mathcal{F}$ , contradicting our hypothesis. Additionally,  $C$  cannot have two different branches of the form  $C_j = y^k - \zeta_j x^q$ ; otherwise, taking two such branches, say  $C_i = y^k - \zeta_i x^q$  and  $C_j = y^k - \zeta_j x^q$ , we obtain, by Bézout's theorem

$$I_p(C_i, C_j) = kq = q^2,$$

which implies that  $k = q$ , yielding a contradiction. Consequently, we conclude that  $f(x, y) = uy(y^k - \zeta x^q)$ , where  $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . In particular,  $d_0 = q + 1$ , and since  $\mathcal{F}$  is logarithmic,  $d = d_0 - 2 = q - 1$  by (27). Moreover, since  $\mathcal{F}$  is a non-dicritical generalized curve foliation, we obtain by [7, p. 19] and [9, Theorem 4]

$$d^2 + d + 1 = \mu_p(\mathcal{F}) = \mu_p(C) = \mu_p(y) + \mu_p(y^k - \zeta x^q) + 2q - 1,$$

which implies that  $q = k + 1$ . Thus, we conclude that  $f(x, y) = uy(y^k - \zeta x^{k+1})$ . In particular,  $f$  has two branches and contains all separatrices of  $\mathcal{F}$  passing through  $p$ . Now, observing that in homogeneous coordinates  $[x : y : z]$  of  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ , the curve  $C = \{y(y^k z - \zeta x^{k+1}) = 0\}$  is an algebraic curve invariant by  $\mathcal{F}$ , and since  $d_0 = q + 1 = k + 2$  and  $d = q - 1 = k$ , we conclude that  $\mathcal{F}$  can be defined by a closed logarithmic 1-form with poles along  $C$ , by Cerveau's theorem [11, Theorem 1.4]. That is, there exist  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}^*$  satisfying  $\lambda_1 + (k + 1)\lambda_2 = 0$ , and

$$\mathcal{F}: \quad \Omega = \lambda_1 \frac{dy}{y} + \lambda_2 \frac{d(y^k z - \zeta x^{k+1})}{y^k z - \zeta x^{k+1}}.$$

However, since  $\lambda_1 + (k + 1)\lambda_2 = 0$ , we can write  $\Omega$  as:

$$\Omega = \lambda_2 \frac{y^{k+1}}{(y^k z - \zeta x^{k+1})} d \left( \frac{y^k z - \zeta x^{k+1}}{y^{k+1}} \right),$$

which implies that  $\mathcal{F}$  admits a rational first integral and, consequently, has a unique dicritical singularity at  $p = [0 : 0 : 1]$ . This contradicts the assumption that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a non-dicritical foliation at  $p$ .  $\square$

#### Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Marcelo E. Hernandes and Hernán Neciosup Puican for their remarks. They would also like to thank the anonymous referees whose comments on a previous version of this article helped to improve both the content and the presentation.

#### Funding

The authors gratefully acknowledge the support of Universidad de La Laguna (Tenerife, Spain), where part of this work was done: Spanish grants PID2019-105896GB-I00 funded by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 and PID2023-149508NB-I00 funded by MICIU/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 and by FEDER, UE. This work was also funded by the Dirección de Fomento de la Investigación at the PUCP through grant DFI-2024-PI1 100. The first author acknowledges support from CNPq Projeto Universal 408687/2023-1 "Geometria das Equações Diferenciais Algébricas" and CNPq-Brazil PQ-306011/2023-9.

#### Data Availability

We do not analyze or generate any datasets, because our work proceeds within a theoretical approach.

#### Declarations

##### Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Federal University of Minas Gerais, Av. Antônio Carlos, 6627, CEP 31270-901 Pampulha - Belo Horizonte, Brazil, <sup>2</sup>Departamento de Matemáticas, Estadística e Investigación Operativa, Instituto Universitario de Matemáticas y Aplicaciones (IMAULL), Universidad de La Laguna, Apartado de Correos 456, 38200 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain, <sup>3</sup>Dpto. Ciencias - Sección Matemáticas, Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú, Av. Universitaria 1801, San Miguel, Lima 32, Peru.

Received: 31 May 2025 Accepted: 18 November 2025

Published online: 12 December 2025

#### References

1. Alcántara, C.R., Mozo-Fernández, J.: Remarks on foliations on  $\mathbb{CP}^2$  with a unique singular point. *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **55** (2024), no. 4, Paper No. 50, 24 pp
2. Almirón, P.: On the quotient of Milnor and Tjurina numbers for two-dimensional isolated hypersurface singularities. *Math. Nachr.* **295**, 1254–1263 (2022)
3. Almirón, P., Hernandes, M.E.: The Tjurina number of a plane curve with two branches and high intersection multiplicity. [arXiv:2501.12836](https://arxiv.org/abs/2501.12836)
4. dos S. Bayer, V.A., de Guzmán, E.M.N., Hefez, A., Hernandes, M.E.: Tjurina number of a local complete intersection curve. *Commun. Algebra* **53**(2), 509–520 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1080/00927872.2024.2381823>
5. Brasselet, J.-P., Seade, J.A., Suwa, T.: An explicit cycle representing the Fulton-Johnson class. I, In: *Singularités Franco-Japonaises*, 21–38, Sémin. Congr., 10, Soc. Math. France, Paris
6. Brunella, M.: Some remarks on indices of holomorphic vector fields. *Publicacions Matemàtiques* **41**, 527–544 (1997)
7. Brunella, M.: *Birational geometry of foliations*. IMPA Monogr., 1. Springer, Cham, xiv+130 pp. (2015)
8. Callejas-Bedregal, R., et al.: The Lê-Greuel formula for functions on analytic spaces. *Tohoku Math. J. (2)* **68**(3), 439–456 (2016)
9. Camacho, C., Lins Neto, A., Sad, P.: Topological invariants and equidesingularization for holomorphic vector fields. *J. Differ. Geom.* **20**(1), 143–174 (1984)
10. Cano, F., Corral, N., Mol, R.: Local polar invariants for plane singular foliations. *Expo. Math.* **37**(2), 145–164 (2019)
11. Cerveau, D.: Formes Logarithmiques et Feuilletages non Dicritiques. *J. Singul.* **9**, 50–55 (2014)
12. Cerveau, D., Lins Neto, A.: Holomorphic foliations in  $\mathbb{CP}(2)$  having an invariant algebraic curve. *Annales de l'Institut Fourier*, Tome **41**(4), 883–903 (1991)
13. Cerveau, D., Mattei, J.-F.: *Formes intégrables holomorphes singulières*. Astérisque, 97 Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 193 pp (1982)
14. Corrêa Jr, M.: Analytic varieties invariant by holomorphic foliations and Pfaff systems. In: *Handbook of Geometry and Topology of Singularities VI. Foliations*, pp. 123–150. Springer, Cham
15. da Silva Machado, D.: Bounds and formulas for residues of singular holomorphic foliations and applications. Preprint (2025) [arXiv:2503.06585](https://arxiv.org/abs/2503.06585), <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2503.06585>
16. da Silva Machado, D.: The Schwartz index and the residue of logarithmic foliations along a hypersurface with isolated singularities. Preprint (2024). [arXiv:2411.07768](https://arxiv.org/abs/2411.07768)
17. da Silva Machado, D.: Residue formula for logarithmic foliations along a divisor with isolated singularities and applications. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **378**(4), 2923–2942 (2025)
18. du Plessis, A.A., Wall, C.T.C.: Application of the theory of the discriminant to highly singular plane curves. *Mat. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **126**, 259–266 (1999)

19. Fernández-Pérez, A., García Barroso, E.R., Saravia-Molina, N.: On Milnor and Tjurina numbers of foliations. *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **56**, no. 2, Paper No. 23 (2025)
20. Fernández-Pérez, A., García Barroso, E.R., Saravia-Molina, N.: An upper bound for the GSV-index of a foliation. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo II* **74**, no. 3, 95 (2025)
21. Fernández-Pérez, A., Mol, R.: Residue-type indices and holomorphic foliations. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)* **19**(3), 1111–1134 (2019)
22. Fernández-Sánchez, P., García Barroso, E.R., Saravia-Molina, N.: A remark on the Tjurina and Milnor numbers of a foliation of second type. *Pro Mathematica*, XXXII **63**, 11–22 (2022)
23. Fortuny Ayuso, P., Ribón, J.: The Poincaré problem for reducible curves. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* **40**(1), 251–276 (2024)
24. Genzmer, Y.: Rigidity for dicritical germ of foliation in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2007**, rnm072 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnm072>
25. Genzmer, Y.: Analytical and formal classifications of quasi-homogeneous foliations in  $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ . *J. Differ. Equ.* **245**(6), 1656–1680 (2008)
26. Genzmer, Y., Mol, R.: Local polar invariants and the Poincaré problem in the dicritical case. *J. Math. Soc. Jpn.* **70**(4), 1419–1451 (2018)
27. Gómez-Mont, X.: An algebraic formula for the index of a vector field on a hypersurface with an isolated singularity. *J. Algebr. Geom.* **7**(4), 731–752 (1998)
28. Gómez-Mont, X., Seade, J., Verjovsky, A.: The index of a holomorphic flow with an isolated singularity. *Math. Ann.* **291**(4), 735–751 (1991)
29. Hefez, A.: Irreducible plane curve singularities. Sixth Workshop at Sao Carlos. 1–120 (2003)
30. Hefez, A., Hernandes, M.E.: Colengths of fractional ideals and Tjurina number of a reducible plane curve. [arXiv:2409.11153](https://arxiv.org/abs/2409.11153)
31. Khanedani, B., Suwa, T.: First variation of holomorphic forms and some applications. *Hokkaido Math. J.* **26**(2), 323–335 (1997)
32. Lins Neto, A.: Algebraic solutions of polynomial differential equations and foliations in dimension two. In: Springer Lecture Notes 1345, Conference on holomorphic dynamics, Mexico, pp. 192–232 (1986)
33. Mattei, J.-F., Salem, E.: Modules formels locaux de feuilletages holomorphes. (2004). [arXiv:math/0402256](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0402256)
34. Milnor, J.W.: Singular Points of Complex Hypersurfaces. University Press, Princeton (1968)
35. Płoski, A.: A bound for the Milnor number of plane curve singularities. *Centr. Eur. J. Math.* **12**(5), 688–693 (2014)
36. Saito, K.: Quasihomogene isolierte Singularitäten von Hyperflächen. *Invent. Math.* **14**, 123–142 (1971)
37. Saito, K.: Theory of logarithmic differential forms and logarithmic vector fields. *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.* **27**(2), 265–291 (1980)
38. Shin, J.: A bound for the Milnor sum of projective plane curves in terms of GIT. *J. Korean Math. Soc.* **53**(2), 461–473 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.4134/JKMS.2016.53.2.461>
39. Soares, M.G.: On the geometry of Poincaré’s problem for one-dimensional projective foliations. *An. Acad. Brasil. Ciênc.* **73**(4), 475–482 (2001)
40. Suwa, T.: Indices of holomorphic vector fields relative to invariant curves on surfaces. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **123**(10), 2989–2997 (1995)
41. Teissier, B.: Cycles évanescents, section planes et condition de Whitney. Singularités à Cargèse (Rencontre Singularités Géom. Anal., Inst. Études Sci., Cargèse, 1972). *Astérisque*, **7–8**, 285–362 (1973)
42. Wall, C.T.C.: Singular points of plane curves, In: London Mathematical Society Student Texts. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, Vol. 63 (2004)

## Publisher’s Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.